

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

May 30, 2001

LB 24

immunization? Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Jensen. Senator Redfield, on the motion to override.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Lieutenant Governor. Members of the body, I hope that everyone has thought about this because I do believe that we are setting a precedent today, or perhaps we are following the precedent that our Governor is trying to set here. I don't know if in history a Governor had signed a bill for funding at the same time that they vetoed the bill that accompanied it, but I do know that if any one of us spends the time to put together a bill, to take it through hearings, to take it through floor debate three rounds and succeed in passing that bill and that bill is accompanied by funding, that in fact if we allow the executive branch to come in and, with the stroke of a pen, erase all of the work that you have done in fighting for that particular purpose but keep the money for something else that perhaps you have become a pawn and the legislative branch has become of naught. I think that this is a concern. I think it's something we should think about. Yes, he's talking about something that is somewhat related, but the purpose of the bill was to form a registry. The purpose of the task force was to find a way to put together a registry. What the Governor's Office has been talking to all of us about is using it to promote immunization or better purposes for public health. He's not talking about using the money for the purpose of the bill. He's basically hijacked the money and that causes me grave concern. If we were here talking about a bill that the Governor had vetoed with an A bill that he had also vetoed I probably would think that we should discuss whether we want to spend \$600,000 for an immunization record, but I think that the issue here that we're talking about is much bigger than that. It's a precedent that we're talking about setting today; is a precedent that the Governor has set and do we want to let it stand? Now, the bill itself I did support. I think that it's more than promoting immunization. That's not what that's about. That's about keeping records. It's about protecting children so that when they go to a hospital on an emergency basis we have personnel that can access a record and know if that child has been immunized when they are facing those things. We have